UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,753	09/30/2005	Nick J. Manesis	D-3132	4339
Frank J Uxa	7590 10/07/200	EXAMINER		
Stout Uxa Buya Suite 300	nn & Mullins	CARTAGENA, MELVIN A		
4 Venture			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 926	18		3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/551,75	53	MANESIS, NICK J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		MELVIN A	. CARTAGENA	3754				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the od patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION  Thent, however, may a reply be tinuous  Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the communication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 September 2	2005					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 September 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
<b>'</b> —	,	=		osecution as to the	e merits is			
ا ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	idoi Ex parto Qu	ay,0, 1000 0.D. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>106-124</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6) Claim(s) <u>106-124</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
	on Papers		•					
•	The specification is objected to by the Exa							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	• , ,	•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) D Notice 3) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6152006.	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,753 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 106-111, 113, 115-116, 118, 119 and 121-124 are rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,154,325 to Ryder et al.

Ryder shows a dispensing assembly as seen in Figs. 1-5, to be attached to a resilient walled container 12, having a portion 19 engaging the interior of the container's neck, a tip with a pressure actuated slit valve 31, a radial vent 44 and an axial vent 40 cover by a hydrophobic silicone membrane 24a that is molded together with a acrylic copolymer nozzle 28, see column 3, lines 46-52 and column 2, lines 4-7, the nozzle contains an anti-microbial material, a cap 36, a deflecting element 42 and a retaining element 25. The device of Ryder performs the method steps claimed in claim 124.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 112 and 120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,154,325 to Ryder et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,753

Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

Ryder shows all claimed features as discussed above but is silent about the particle size that can be prevented from flowing through the vent. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hydrophobic vent of the device of Ryder to prevent flow of particles of dimensioned according to the application and environment the dispenser will be used, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. As per MPEP 2144.05

5. Claims 114 and 117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,154,325 to Ryder et al.

Ryder shows all claimed features as discussed above but is silent about the cap containing an anti-microbial liner. The device of Ryder coats or manufactures some of its components with anti-microbial product to preserve the content of the product contained the dispenser, adding a layer of anti-microbial product to the cap, presents no novel or unexpected result over the use of an anti-microbial element in the remaining element of the device. Use of additional layer of anti-microbial product in lieu of those used in the reference solves no stated problem and would be an obvious matter of design choice within the skill of the art. In re Launder, 42 CCPA 886, 222 F.2d 371, 105 USPQ 446 (1955); Flour City Architectural Metals v. Alpana Aluminum Products, Inc., 454 F. 2d 98, 172 USPQ 341 (8th Cir. 1972); National Connector Corp. v. Malco Manufacturing Co., 392 F.2d 766. 157 USPQ 401 (8th Cir.) cert. denied, 393 U.S. 923, 159 USPQ 799 (1968).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELVIN A. CARTAGENA whose telephone number is (571)272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Kevin P. Shaver/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754